Emotion-sensitive automation of air traffic control

Adapting air traffic control automation to user emotions
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A human being is more flexible and adaptive than any technology. Nevertheless, the right support systems at the right time can bring large benefits. But how can we know when someone could benefit from technological support? The StayCentered project is working on the idea of collecting physiological data to assess the mental state of an air traffic controller. Information about the controller’s mental state would allow for adaptive assistance and additional measures in cases where work overload is anticipated. Such measures could, for example, limit the number of aircraft in the airspace being controlled or provide relief using adjacent sectors.
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About 28,000 commercial flights are conducted in Europe every day, and air traffic controllers are responsible for providing separation between these flights. Air traffic controllers work in control towers or area control centres. They give instructions to flight crews via radiotelephony to ensure safe flights. While tower controllers generally monitor and coordinate aircraft in the vicinity of the airport with which they have visual contact, area and approach controllers rely on the radar information displayed on their screens (Figure 1).

In the past decades there has been a significant increase in the degree of automation used in air traffic control, especially at area control centres. The demands placed on air traffic controllers have been reduced due to a wide range of technology. This includes alerting systems, more reliable predictions of future flight trajectories, the filtered display of only the targets relevant for a certain working position, and the implementation of ergonomic colour concepts to increase awareness. This reduction of workload has led to an increase in airspace capacity and productivity – meaning the number of flight hours that are handled in an air traffic controller hour.

Increasing the degree of automation at controller working positions remains firmly an R&D topic. The planning of implementation focuses primarily on technical issues. Recording the human workload in situ in this increasingly automated system only plays a subsidiary role. New controller assistance systems will lead to adjustments being made to current workload models, such as adjusting the time controllers will spend in position or on breaks when processing a specific airspace. However, they will not...
lead to changes to the mechanisms used to reduce workload itself. The same measures based on traffic figures and subjective parameters will continue to be used to modulate the actual workload of air traffic controllers and to reduce their actual workload by coordinating with adjacent sectors. This mechanism is not a closed control loop, particularly because it does not predict the future demands that will be placed on the human operator.

As a counterpoint to this direction, a theory has arisen in which the actual cognitive workload of operators can play a growing role when there is a higher degree of automation. Such knowledge could be used, for example, to trigger a change to the distribution of workload between human beings and machines to fit the situation or to trigger a specific type of display. To be able to adapt automation to actual or anticipated requirements, the system needs knowledge of the workload state of the air traffic controller based on relevant, understandable, and minimally invasive measurable indicators. Even more beneficial would be the ability to predict such states reliably for a relevant period of time. This prerequisite cannot be met at the moment. Today, the team determines the level of the air traffic controller’s workload. For en-route control, there are always two air traffic controllers simultaneously responsible for a specific airspace. This means they can assess and evaluate each other. At some control centres, it is possible to enter the workload level directly into the ATM system. This informs adjacent teams so that, if required, they can be asked for active support. This is a well-tested procedure but does not necessarily have to remain unchanged in the future.

**StayCentered project setup**

The StayCentered project at the Technische Universität Chemnitz (sponsored by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research) is pursuing the goal of collecting and comparing the physiological and cognitive workload of air traffic controllers using various sensory data. The goal is to create a real-time simulation of the controller workload using these data as the basis. Then recommendations should be made as to which actions should be taken or adaptations of the visual display of the interfaces should be made. The project also aims to provide a capacity forecast for sector planning at DFS control centres. On the basis of air situation displays of traffic already handled, recommendations can be made about the need to raise staffing levels, or to temporarily reconfigure airspaces to provide better control, for example of evening air traffic. Furthermore, the two-person team of controllers will be monitored more closely to obtain feedback about what aspects of verbal and non-verbal communication are necessary for the job. Overall, the goal is about optimising working conditions.

A real-time simulation environment of area control working positions was chosen for the study (Figure 2). This is located on the premises of the DFS Research and Development Centre in Langen (near Frankfurt, Germany). The simulator is used to validate the research into controller assistance tools (CATO) as part of the SESAR project “Separation Task in En-Route Trajectory based environment” with the involvement of air traffic controllers from the DFS Bremen control centre [2].

The project encompasses the development of a controller assistance system that displays conflict-free flight levels and headings to increase airspace capacity. The simulated traffic scenarios are characterised by a very high traffic density that can lead to air traffic controllers reaching their workload limits. This effect was one of the decisive requirements of the StayCentered project as the system can provide support for just such situations.

Taking measurements in live operations of air traffic control was not considered for a number of reasons, including the fact that it could not be ruled out that controllers would be distracted by the measurement instruments. In addition, it is not legal to record radiotelephony for R&D purposes.

For these reasons, the tests are carried out with simulated air traffic control as previously mentioned. To accomplish this, all the necessary parameters have to be re-adjusted as precisely as possible. In addition to the air traffic controllers being tested, additional controllers and pilots support the tests by simulating traffic in the adjacent sectors. The traffic scenario was developed by DFS experts and fed into the simulator. Specific conditions such as especially dense traffic situations, emergency situations in the cockpit or flights without flight plan can also be added to the simulation.

The participants in the experiment are always informed about the measurements to be taken and the general context is explained to them. Furthermore, representatives of the staff council, were included in the planning of the experiments so that the requirements of staff representation could always be complied with.

In addition to measurements taken at the simulator, observation studies are to be carried out during live operations and air traffic controllers will be interviewed and surveyed. These methods are being used to attain two main goals. Firstly, factors will be identified that are different during live operations than in the simulator. Secondly, interviews and questionnaires offer insights into variables that cannot be directly observed but might be stress-inducing for air traffic controllers. A pooling of all the methods is used to analyse the existing systems as well as to estimate the potential of the planned adaptive user interfaces.

**Recording relevant parameters**

To keep records of the cognitive workload of air traffic controllers, the StayCentered project pursues a comprehensive approach to acquiring data in the simulations. A stereoscopic camera is used to record the posture and movements of the controllers’ upper body in three dimensions. This makes it possible to differentiate between relaxed postures and highly concentrated ones. It is also used to determine in which situations controllers use verbal and non-verbal comm...
munication to get their message across. By monitoring skin conductance, heart rate and skin temperature, the physiological state in relation to the emotional and cognitive state can be constantly measured. Conclusions can then be drawn about particularly demanding traffic situations as well as phases of underload. In the same way, by recording eye movements, elements are identified that could potentially lead to a critical situation. The dilation of pupils is also an indication of concrete cognitive overload. This is possible due to a pair of eyeglass frames equipped with an integrated frontal camera that records the field of vision as well as an infrared camera inside the frame to record the movement of the eyes. In addition, both radio communication with the pilots and the spoken communication between the two controllers is recorded using a number of independent microphones. The relevant parameters such as pitch, speed of speaking and other characteristics are correlated to the air traffic situation. The movement of the controllers’ facial muscles is also recorded and analysed with the help of the facial action coding system (FACS) to determine the controllers’ emotions. Specific groups of facial muscles are assigned action units. Specific combinations of these correlate to different basic emotions.

All these data are integrated into an overview by means of sensor data fusion. Various methods are applied to compare the data against each other. This makes it possible for more than one sensor to be used to measure a specific parameter. The additional sensors are intended to confirm the correlation. For example, an increase in skin conductivity may be connected to a change in the duration eyes are fixated on something or to a change in how the person is sitting. The system has many valid indicators that can show that the workload of the controller has increased in this situation. The plausibility of the data supplied by the system is ensured by comparing them with objective traffic data (number of aircraft or aircraft movements in the sector) at the point in time in question. This mechanism allows the system to make projections whether or when a critical situation could arise due to an unfavourable combination of controller emotions and air traffic in the relevant sector. The system then transmits an alert about the critical situation. To create a model for calculating the emotional state and the cognitive workload, the controllers record their own subjective appraisal of how high the level of their workload is, how much pressure they feel, and how good their overview of the air situation is. Both the objectively measured data of the controllers and the flight situation as well as the subjective aspects are used for the creation of a model. This cross-validation increases the reliability of correctly interpreting the data.

**Preliminary results**

In addition to the data obtained by the measurements during the experiments, further measurements of concentration and performance diagnostics were carried out. These showed that air traffic controllers possess an above-average ability to concentrate and direct their attention. Although these tests were designed and validated to be practically impossible to complete within the allotted time, some of the air traffic controllers as test persons were able to complete the tasks. This shows that normal psychological tests are insufficient to ensure valid recording of the actual abilities of air traffic controllers. New approaches must be developed as most performance tests are designed to diagnose people with “normal” abilities.

In addition to the bodily indicators for stress, other relevant variables were identified in interviews with active air traffic controllers about the general characteristics of their job. The major stressors for air traffic controllers are high traffic volume, particularly with a high number of vertical movements, unexpected events such as aircraft without flight plans entering their sector, or failures and errors of the functionalities of equipment despite good back-up systems. In addition, long periods of absence from the job or other personal factors negatively influence the perception of work overload/performance of controllers.

When it comes to user interfaces, the display of information about the workload of the air traffic controller is very important. This concerns information about the workload situation of controllers in adjacent sectors when high traffic levels make it necessary to shift traffic to these sectors. However, it also concerns the prediction about the controller’s own situation as this can be the basis for decisions such as issuing pilots direct routings. Further adaptations in the presentation of information about the controller's situation are necessary as not every piece of information is equally relevant for every situation.

Well-designed user interfaces take into account and reflect the social environment in which they are implemented. They also take into account the existing mental mod-
els of the users, and support their procedures. As cooperation within the team of controllers is essential for air traffic control, all the interfaces the controller uses must also support this cooperation by making actions transparent. In terms of the controller's mental processes, it was determined that the mental representation of the flight situation is not necessarily three-dimensional. As a result, the two-dimensional display has become the preferred way of displaying the air situation. Special attention is paid to altitude information as this has been called the most important piece of information for controllers.

For further discussion of the initial results concerning working methods of air traffic controllers and the implications of these for the emotion model and user interfaces see [1].

**Outlook**

The project's long-term potential extends far beyond the specific scenario of air traffic control. In fact, it lays a cornerstone for the hypothetical use of assistance systems in many fields where the human factor could potentially be the cause of devastating safety problems. This includes professions such as pilots, train drivers, and even safety staff in nuclear power plants. Although there are detailed provisions and procedures designed to provide maximum safety in these fields, just as there are in air traffic control, not all possibilities can be accounted for, as the human factor is a volatile variable.

Assistance systems can provide support when the technology is limited to a minimally invasive level that will not influence actual work processes. In the coming years, solutions can be expected due to the rapid development of technology, particularly in the field of wearable devices that collect physiological data. The major advantage here is that such a system is based on objective indicators, whereas human interpretations regarding one’s own cognitive and emotional state are always subjective and, consequently, can be distorted under demanding conditions. An assistance system should be free of such problems such that bad decisions are prevented and critical situations avoided. The real-time collection of video, audio and physiological data of staff raises the question as to how these data should be handled, how to prevent their misuse, as well as data protection in general. The related challenges that need to be met seem small when compared to the benefits such systems could bring.

**LITERATURE**
